

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,196	06/23/2003	Marc Solsona	042933/319264	1004	
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 09/26/200 IRD LLP	7 .	EXAM	IINER	
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000		E 4000	GERGISO,	GERGISO, TECHANE	
		L 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2137		
	1		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		09/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		-	N
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,196	SOLSONA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Techane J. Gergiso	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. by be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 July 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	• ()		
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	* ' '		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in Appendic priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application .·	

Application/Control Number: 10/602,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 16, 2007 has

been entered.

- 2. Claims 1-29 have been examined.
- 3. Claims 1-29 are pending.
- 4. Amendment to the specification filed on July 16, 2007 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kavsan (US Pat. No.: 6,412, 069) in view of Teal et al. (hereinafter referred to as Teal, US Pub No.: 2003/0120935).

As per claim 1:

Kavsan teaches a method for protecting an operating system, comprising:

determining integrity data for an operating system binary, wherein the integrity data enables detection of a modification to the operating system binary (Column 2:

lines 10-24; Column 2, lines 61-67; Column 3: lines 5-15, 20-27); and

the kernel is operable to employ the integrity data to detect the modification to the operating system binary (Column 3: lines 35-52; lines 54-65).

Kavsan does not explicitly disclose modifying a kernel with the integrity data. Teal in analogous art, however, disclose modifying a kernel with the integrity data (0035; 0044; 0067; 0091; 0095; 0097). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Kavsan to include modifying a kernel with the integrity data. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide owners of proprietary networks of individual computer resources to have greater security protection than is provided by embedded security utilities, by firewall products, or by firewall products with automatic intrusion detection tools as suggested by Teal in (0032).

As per claim 2:

Teal discloses a method, wherein the integrity data further comprises at least one of a digital signature, and a hash associated with the operating system binary (0044).

As per claim 3:

Teal discloses a method, wherein the hash further comprises at least one a message digest, and a Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA) (Page 7: Paragraph 4).

As per claim 4:

Teal discloses a method, wherein the modifying the kernel further comprises: storing the integrity data in a data store (0013; 0042; 0048; 0049; 0063; 0067); and embedding the data store into the kernel (0013; 0042; 0048; 0049; 0063; 0067);

As per claim 5:

Teal discloses a method, wherein embedding the data store in the kernel further comprises at least one of digitally signing the data store, and encrypting the data store (0013; 0042; 0048; 0049; 0063; 0067).

7. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kavsan (US Pat. No.: 6,412, 069) in view of Teal et al. (hereinafter referred to as Teal, US Pub No.: 2003/0120935) and further in view of Pham et al. (US Pub No.: 2004/0078568).

As per claim 6:

Teal teaches generating an operating system image based in part on the modified kernel and the operating system user level binary (0094-0095).

Kavsan and Teal do not explicitly disclose the operating system image comprises at least one of creating an archive file, a compressed file, and a Cabinet (CAB) file. Pham et al. in

Art Unit: 2137

analogous art, however, disclose the operating system image comprises at least one of creating

Page 5

an archive file, a compressed file, and a Cabinet (CAB) file (Figure 5B: 42; Figure 12: 388).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Kavsan and Teal to include the operating

system image comprises at least one of creating an archive file, a compressed file, and a Cabinet

(CAB) file. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill

in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide an efficient mechanism for reliably

securing persistent data in a manner eminently subject to cooperative management and control

within a security domain as suggested by Pham et al. in (Page 2: 0012).

As per claim 7:

Kavsan discloses a method, wherein the operating system binary further comprises at

least one of an OS user level binary, and the kernel (Figure 1: Application Space; Kernel Space).

8. Claims 8-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eun et al.

(WO 01/80482 A1) in view of Teal et al. (hereinafter referred to as Teal, US Pub No.:

2003/0120935).

As per claim 8:

Eun et al. disclose a method for protecting an operating system, comprising;

generating a first integrity data for an operating system binary (Page 5: lines 11-20; lines

28-34; Page 6: lines 4-11);

receiving a request associated with the operating system binary (Page 8: lines 15-22);

retrieving the first integrity data associated with the operating system binary (Figure 3:

312, 314 318);

determining if the first integrity data indicates tampering of the operating system binary

(Figure 3: 310 308 306); and

performing a tamper detection action if the first integrity data indicates tampering of the

operating system binary (Figure 3: 310 308 306).

Eun et al. do not explicitly disclose modifying an operating system kernel with the first

integrity data. Teal in analogous art, however, disclose modifying an operating system kernel

with the first integrity data (0035; 0044; 0067; 0091; 0095; 0097). Therefore, it would have been

obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

the system disclosed by Eun et al. to include modifying an operating system kernel with the first

integrity data. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill

in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide owners of proprietary networks of

individual computer resources to have greater security protection than is provided by embedded

security utilities, by firewall products, or by firewall products with automatic intrusion detection

tools as suggested by Teal in (0032).

As per claim 9:

Eun et al. disclose a method, wherein receiving the request further comprises receiving at

least one of a read action, an execute operation, and an install request (Figure 8: 702).

As per claim 10:

Teal discloses a method, wherein performing the tamper detection action further comprises at least one of providing a tamper detection message, and quarantining the operating system binary (0021; 0041; 0042; 0074; 0079).

As per claim 11:

Teal discloses a method, wherein the first integrity data further comprises at least one of a digital signature, and a hash associated with the operating system binary (0013; 0042; 0048; 0049; 0063; 0067).

As per claim 12:

Teal discloses a method, wherein the hash further comprises at least one a message digest, and a Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA) (0013; 0042; 0048; 0049; 0063; 0067).

As per claim 13:

Teal discloses a method, wherein modifying the operating system kernel with the first integrity data further comprises storing the first integrity data in at least one of a database, a file, and a program (0013; 0042; 0048; 0049; 0063; 0067).

As per claim 14:

Art Unit: 2137

Page 8

Teal discloses a method, wherein modifying the operating system kernel further comprises associating the first integrity data with the operating system kernel (0013; 0042; 0048; 0049; 0063; 0067).

As per claim 15:

Teal discloses a method, wherein associating the first integrity data with the operating system kernel further comprises digitally signing the first integrity data with a digital key associated with the operating system kernel (0013; 0042; 0048; 0049; 0063; 0067).

As per claim 16:

Eun et al. disclose a method, wherein determining if the first integrity data indicates tampering of the operating system binary further comprises:

determining a second integrity data for the operating system binary (Page 2: lines 15-27;
Abstract; Page 7: lines 15-20);

determining if the first integrity data is substantially different from the second integrity data (Page 6: lines 25-36); Page 7: lines 15-20); and

indicating tampering of the operating system binary if the first integrity data is substantially different from the second integrity data (Page 13: lines 16-33).

As per claim 17:

Eun et al. disclose a method, wherein determining if the first integrity data is substantially different from the second integrity data further comprises comparing the second integrity data to the first integrity data (Page 2: lines 15-27; Abstract; Page 7: lines 15-20).

As per claim 18:

Eun et al. disclose a method for protecting an operating system, comprising:

receiving a request associated with an operating system binary (Page 8: lines 15-22);

retrieving integrity data associated with the operating system binary (Figure 3: 312, 314

318); and

performing a tamper detection action if the integrity data indicates tampering of the operating system binary (Figure 3: 310 308 306).

Eun et al. do not explicitly disclose modifying an operating system kernel. Teal in analogous art, however, disclose modifying an operating system kernel (0035; 0044; 0067; 0091; 0095; 0097). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Eun et al. to include modifying an operating system kernel. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide owners of proprietary networks of individual computer resources to have greater security protection than is provided by embedded security utilities, by firewall products, or by firewall products with automatic intrusion detection tools as suggested by Teal in (0032).

As per claim 19:

Eun et al. disclose a method, wherein receiving the request further comprises receiving at least one of a read action, an execute operation, and an install request (Figure 8: 702).

As per claim 20:

Teal discloses a method, wherein performing the tamper detection action further comprises at least one of providing a tamper detection message, and quarantining the operating system binary (0021; 0041; 0042; 0074; 0079).

As per claim 21:

Eun et al. disclose a method, wherein determining if the integrity data indicates tampering of the operating system binary further comprises:

determining another integrity data for the operating system binary (Page 2: lines 15-27; Abstract; Page 7: lines 15-20);

determining if the other integrity data is substantially different from the retrieved integrity data (Page 6: lines 25-36); Page 7: lines 15-20); and

indicating tampering of the operating system binary if the other integrity data is substantially different from the retrieved integrity data (Page 13: lines 16-33).

As per claim 22:

Eun et al. disclose a computer-readable medium having computer-executable components for protecting an operating system, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/602,196 Page 11

Art Unit: 2137

a data store configured to receive and store a first integrity data, wherein the first integrity data is for an operating system binary (Figure 3: 312, 314, 316, 318); and receiving a request to examine an operating system binary (Page 6: lines 5-11; Page 7: 4-22);

retrieving the first integrity data for the operating system binary (Page 8: lines 11-22); determining if the first integrity data indicates tampering of the operating system binary (Page 11: lines 15-33).

Eun et al. do not explicitly disclose a tamper detection component, coupled to the data store, that is arranged to perform actions, and performing a tamper detection action if the first integrity data indicates tampering of the operating system binary. Teal in analogous art, however, disclose a tamper detection component, coupled to the data store, that is arranged to perform actions, and performing a tamper detection action if the first integrity data indicates tampering of the operating system binary (0037, 0041; 0044; 0067; 0091; 0095; 0097). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Eun et al. to include a tamper detection component, coupled to the data store, that is arranged to perform actions, and performing a tamper detection action if the first integrity data indicates tampering of the operating system binary. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide owners of proprietary networks of individual computer resources to have greater security protection than is provided by embedded security utilities, by firewall products, or by firewall products with automatic intrusion detection tools as suggested by Teal in (0032).

As per claim 23:

Teal discloses a computer-readable medium, wherein the computer-executable components are associated with an operating system kernel (0037, 0041; 0044; 0067; 0091; 0095; 0097).

As per claim 24:

Teal discloses a computer-readable medium, wherein performing the tamper detection action further comprises at least one of providing a tamper detection message, and quarantining the operating system binary (0021; 0041; 0042; 0074; 0079).

As per claim 25:

Eun et al. disclose a computer-readable medium, wherein the first integrity data further comprises at least one of a digital signature, and a hash associated with the operating system binary (Figure 3: 304).

As per claim 26:

Eun et al. disclose a computer-readable medium, wherein the operating system binary further comprises at least one of an OS user level binary, and a kernel (Figure 2: User Level, Kernel Level).

As per claim 27:

Art Unit: 2137

Pham et al. discloses a computer-readable medium, wherein determining if the first

Page 13

integrity data indicates tampering of the operating system binary further comprises:

determining a second integrity data for the operating system binary (Figure 5B: 156);

determining if the first integrity data is substantially different from the second integrity

data (Figure 10B: 298), and

indicating tampering of the operating system binary if the first integrity data is

substantially different from the second integrity data (Figure 10B: lines 302).

As per claim 28:

Eun et al. a computer-readable medium, wherein the second integrity data further

comprises at least one of a digital signature, and a hash associated with the operating system

binary (Figure 3: 304).

As per claim 29:

Eun et al. disclose an apparatus for protecting an operating system, comprising: means

for receiving a request to examine an operating system binary;

means for retrieving a first integrity data for the operating system binary (Page 8: lines

11-22);

means for determining a second integrity data for the operating system binary (Page 6:

lines 25-36); Page 7: lines 15-20); and

Page 14

Eun et al. do not explicitly disclose means for determining if the first integrity data is substantially different from the second integrity data, and if the first integrity data is substantially different from the second integrity data, a means for performing a tamper detection action. Teal in analogous art, however, disclose means for determining if the first integrity data is substantially different from the second integrity data, and if the first integrity data is substantially different from the second integrity data, a means for performing a tamper detection action (0037, 0041; 0044; 0067; 0091; 0095; 0097). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Eun et al. to include means for determining if the first integrity data is substantially different from the second integrity data, and if the first integrity data is substantially different from the second integrity data, a means for performing a tamper detection action. This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide owners of proprietary networks of individual computer resources to have greater security protection than is provided by embedded security utilities, by firewall products, or by firewall products with automatic intrusion detection tools as suggested by Teal in (0032).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

See the notice of reference cited in form PTO-892 for additional prior art

Art Unit: 2137

Contact Information

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Techane J. Gergiso whose telephone number is (571) 272-3784

and fax number is (571) 273-3784. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T- C Techane Gergiso

Page 15

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2137

September 21, 2007

EMMANUEL L. MOISE SUPERVISORY LATEXAMINER